WAC 192-220-040 How will the disqualification period and penalty established by RCW 50.20.070 be assessed? 

(1) RCW 50.20.070 provides for increasing disqualification periods and dollar penalties when a second, third or subsequent fraud is committed. The department will decide whether an action is the first, second, third or subsequent occurrence based on the criteria in this section.

(2) Once the department mails a fraud decision, any fraud that is found for weeks filed before, or within fourteen days after, the mailing date of the decision will be treated as part of the same occurrence of fraud. This applies even if the decisions involve different eligibility issues.

Example: A fraud decision is mailed on June 1 for weeks claimed on April 30. On July 1, a decision is mailed assessing fraud for weeks claimed on March 31. Both decisions will be treated as the same level occurrence because the weeks covered by the July 1 decision were filed before the June 1 decision was mailed.

(3) The department will treat any fraud for weeks filed more than fourteen days after the mailing date of a prior fraud decision as a separate occurrence of fraud. This applies even if the weeks claimed occur before the weeks for which fraud was assessed in the prior decision.

Example: On June 1, a decision is mailed assessing fraud for weeks you claimed on March 31. On July 10, late claims are filed for weeks before March 31 in which fraud is committed. The later decision is treated as a subsequent occurrence of fraud because the late claims were filed more than fourteen days after June 1.

(4) The department will assess a disqualification period and penalty for each fraud decision issued based on whether it is a first, second, third or subsequent occurrence.

Example 1: A first occurrence of fraud is assessed on June 1 with a disqualification period of twenty-six weeks beginning with the week of June 1. Another fraud decision is issued on June 12 that is found to be part of the first occurrence. In addition to the fifteen percent penalty, the disqualification period is twenty-six weeks beginning with the week of June 1st.

Example 2: A first occurrence of fraud is assessed on June 1 with a disqualification period of twenty-six weeks and a penalty of fifteen percent beginning with the week of June 1. A second occurrence of fraud is assessed on July 10 with a disqualification period of fifty-two weeks beginning with the week of July 10 and a penalty of twenty-five percent for the weeks fraudulently paid.

(5) All disqualifications and penalties in this section are in addition to the required repayment of any benefits paid as a result of fraud.
WAC 192-220-045 How is the fraud penalty calculated?—RCW 50.20.070. (1) The department will assess the penalty established under RCW 50.20.070 for (second, third, or subsequent occurrences of) fraud based on a percentage of benefits paid for those weeks in which the fraud occurred or that were paid as a result of fraud. The penalty will not apply to other weeks that may be included in the same eligibility decision.

(a) For a first occurrence, the penalty is fifteen percent of benefits overpaid.
(b) For a second occurrence, the penalty is twenty-five percent of benefits overpaid.
(c) For a third or subsequent occurrence, the penalty is fifty percent of benefits overpaid.

(2) The penalty amount, if not a multiple of one dollar, is rounded up to the next higher dollar.

WAC 192-220-050 Will I receive a decision if a fraud penalty changes following a redetermination or appeal of another fraud decision? (1) The department will issue a new decision showing the corrected disqualification period and penalty if a disqualification period or penalty changes because of a change to another fraud decision following a redetermination or appeal.

Example 1: A first occurrence of fraud is assessed on June 1 and a second occurrence is assessed on July 10. The June 1 fraud assessment is overturned through appeal, making the July 10 decision the first occurrence. The department will issue a correction to the July 10 decision showing the penalty for a first occurrence of fraud (twenty-six week disqualification and no a fifteen percent dollar penalty).

Example 2: A decision assessing a first occurrence of fraud is mailed on August 1 and benefits are denied for the following twenty-six weeks and a fifteen percent penalty is assessed. On August 10, another fraud decision is mailed which is considered part of the first occurrence and denies benefits for the twenty-six weeks beginning August 1. The fraud included in the August 1 decision is overturned through appeal. The August 10 decision remains and the department will issue a correction showing the twenty-six week denial period begins with the August 10 mailing date.

(2) Although the revised decision does not restart the appeal period included in the original decision, you may appeal a change in the penalty or period of disqualification.